### Community Dashboard

**JULY 2018**

#### PROGRAM LEVEL OUTCOMES

The following charts illustrate the total number of clients who reported achieving specified outcomes, and the corresponding percentage of achievement for all clients working on that outcome.

**Home-Bound Food Distribution**

- Decreased nutritional risk for low-income (at or below 200% FPL) residents, as measured by the reduction of elimination of waiting lists.


**Nutrition Education Enhanced Produce Distribution**

- Increased fruit and vegetable distribution to targeted low-income populations (at or below 200% FPL), as measured by pounds of produce distributed and percent of locations providing fresh produce.

**Hunger Relief**

- Increased fruit and vegetables distribution to targeted low-income populations (at or below 200% FPL), as measured by pounds of produce distributed and percent of locations providing fresh produce.

#### SYSTEMS GOAL: Work to identify and address unmet needs related to benefits

**FOOD SECURITY OF ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED IN WASHTENAW COUNTY**

Percent of Individuals Receiving SNAP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percent Receiving SNAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>32.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Food Security Among Low-Income Residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TRENDS FROM REPORTING

- A large number of participants were reported to be served via the local food security network, comprised of high capability groups, but this number likely includes duplicates.

- Multiple programs reported an increasing need for food delivery for homebound participants.

- Programs continue to experience an increase in special diet requests and work to fill these requests.

### DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

#### Race of All WCF Participants (FY16)

- 242 (46%): White
- 76 (13%): Black/African American
- 79 (14%): Hispanic
- 76 (13%): Other
- 3 (1%): Asian
- 3 (1%): Unknown

#### Race of WCF Food Bank Participants (FY17)

- 226 (38%): White
- 93 (16%): Black/African American
- 85 (14%): Hispanic
- 68 (12%): Other
- 2 (0%): Asian
- 22 (4%): Unknown

#### Breakdown by Income

- American Indian (4%)
- Asian (12%)
- Black/African American (28%)
- Hispanic (12%)
- White (74.5%)
- Unknown (26%)

### POLICY UPDATES FROM WASHTENAW HEALTH NEWSLETTER

#### FEDERAL UPDATES

- As of January 1, 2017, Washtenaw is one of only four counties in the state (along with Oakland, Kent and Allin Counties) that can automate the online calculation of federal food assistance benefits.

#### STATE/LOCAL UPDATES

- Against federal rules, MDHHS had used a computer match of individuals with outstanding felony warrants to automatically deny/cut off food assistance benefits.

- The ACLU of Michigan filed a federal lawsuit in July 2016 challenging the mandatory matching of people with outstanding felony warrants to automatically deny/cut off food assistance benefits.

- As of January 1, 2017, Washtenaw is one of only four counties in the state (along with Oakland, Kent and Allin Counties) that can automate the online calculation of federal food assistance benefits. This includes all food assistance benefits, including WIC, SNAP and TANF.

#### STATE/LOCAL UPDATES

- Against federal rules, MDHHS had used a computer match of individuals with outstanding felony warrants to automatically deny/cut off food assistance benefits.

- The ACLU of Michigan filed a federal lawsuit in July 2016 challenging the mandatory matching of people with outstanding felony warrants to automatically deny/cut off food assistance benefits.